

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,970	10/15/2004	Peter D. Saunders	60655.6400	5969	
5514	7590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER		
	ICK CELLA HARPER &	LE, DANH C			
	ELLER PLAZA , NY 10112	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .		
			2617		
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/711,		SAUNDERS, PETER D.				
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
		DANH C	. LE	2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress			
A SH WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRATE IN THE MINISTRATE	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tinwill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 28 October 20	04.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` · · ·					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the cer	tified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate	O 152\			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	P10/SB/08)	6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-192)			

Application/Control Number: 10/711,970 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/15/04, 10/28/04,
 11/01/04, 3/28/05, 3/30/05 and 4/29/05 have been considered by the examiner and made of record in the application file.

SET I

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Gehrmann (US 20040176071).

As to claim 1, Gehrmann teaches a method for facilitating remote configuration of a RF module for RF transaction completion (figure 1, 2 and their descriptions) comprising:

providing a RF module configured for use with a mobile device including a mobile device microprocessor, the RF module configured to be in physical and logical communication with the mobile device microprocessor;

facilitating mutual authentication between a personalization unit and the RF module: and

providing the personalization file to the RF module via the mobile device microprocessor to enable the RF module for RF transaction processing, the personalization file being provided by a RF module account issuer system personalization unit.

As to claim 2, Gehrmann teaches a method of claim 1, comprising providing the RF module with an authentication key prior to providing the RF module to the mobile device (figure 3, 4 and their descriptions).

As to claim 3, Gehrmann teaches a method of claim 1, comprising communicating with the RF module via a mobile device account issuer to facilitate mutual authentication between the personalization system and the RF module (figure 1, 2 and their descriptions).

As to claim 4, Gehrmann teaches a method of claim 1, comprising communicating with the RF module to supply the personalization file via the mobile device subsequent to communicating with the mobile device account issuer, wherein communication with the mobile device account issuer is established prior to communicating with the RF module (figure 1, 2 and their descriptions).

As to claim 5, Gehrmann teaches a method of claim 4, comprising verifying that the RF module is valid for personalization by the personalization unit (figure 1, 2 and their descriptions).

As to claim 6, Gehrmann teaches a method of claim 1, comprising providing the personalization file to the RF module via a mobile device account provider (figure 1, 2 and their descriptions).

As to claim 7, Gehrmann teaches a method of claim 1, comprising providing the personalization file to the RF module through direct communication .

Page 4

As to claim 8, Gehrmann teaches a method of claim 1, comprising providing the personalization file to the RF module via a mobile device universal bus (USB), the mobile device USB being in communication with the personalization unit and with the mobile device microprocessor (figure 3 and its description).

As to claim 9, the claim is computer software claim of claim 1; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 1.

As to claim 10, the claim is a system claim of claim 1; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 1.

As to claim 11, the claim is a system claim of claim 4; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 4.

As to claim 12, the claim is a system claim of claim 8; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 8.

As to claim 13, the claim is a system claim of claim 3; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 3.

SET II

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,970 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

3. Claims 1, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Yaqub (US 20040180657).

As to claim 1, Yaqub teaches a method for facilitating remote configuration of a RF module for RF transaction completion (figure 1, 2 and their descriptions) comprising:

providing a RF module configured for use with a mobile device including a mobile device microprocessor, the RF module configured to be in physical and logical communication with the mobile device microprocessor;

facilitating mutual authentication between a personalization unit and the RF module; and

providing the personalization file to the RF module via the mobile device microprocessor to enable the RF module for RF transaction processing, the personalization file being provided by a RF module account issuer system personalization unit.

As to claim 9, the claim is computer software claim of claim 1; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 1.

As to claim 10, the claim is a system claim of claim 1; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 1.

SET III

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/711,970

Art Unit: 2617

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Rosenberg (US 2004/0235450)

As to claim 1, Rosenberg teaches a method for facilitating remote configuration of a RF module for RF transaction completion (figure 1-5 and their descriptions) comprising:

providing a RF module configured for use with a mobile device including a mobile device microprocessor, the RF module configured to be in physical and logical communication with the mobile device microprocessor;

facilitating mutual authentication between a personalization unit and the RF module; and

providing the personalization file to the RF module via the mobile device microprocessor to enable the RF module for RF transaction processing, the personalization file being provided by a RF module account issuer system personalization unit.

As to claim 2, Rosenberg teaches a method of claim 1, comprising providing the RF module with an authentication key prior to providing the RF module to the mobile device (figure 1-5 and their descriptions).

As to claim 3, Rosenberg teaches a method of claim 1, comprising communicating with the RF module via a mobile device account issuer to facilitate mutual authentication between the personalization system and the RF module (figure 1-5 and their descriptions).

Page 7

As to claim 4, Rosenberg teaches a method of claim 1, comprising communicating with the RF module to supply the personalization file via the mobile device subsequent to communicating with the mobile device account issuer, wherein communication with the mobile device account issuer is established prior to communicating with the RF module (figure 1-5 and their descriptions).

As to claim 5, Rosenberg teaches a method of claim 4, comprising verifying that the RF module is valid for personalization by the personalization unit (figure 1-5 and their descriptions).

As to claim 6, Rosenberg teaches a method of claim 1, comprising providing the personalization file to the RF module via a mobile device account provider (figure 1-5 and their descriptions).

As to claim 7, Rosenberg teaches a method of claim 1, comprising providing the personalization file to the RF module through direct communication .

As to claim 8, Rosenberg teaches a method of claim 1, comprising providing the personalization file to the RF module via a mobile device universal bus (USB), the mobile device USB being in communication with the personalization unit and with the mobile device microprocessor (810).

As to claim 9, the claim is computer software claim of claim 1; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 1.

As to claim 10, the claim is a system claim of claim 1; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 1.

As to claim 11, the claim is a system claim of claim 4; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 4.

As to claim 12, the claim is a system claim of claim 8; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 8.

As to claim 13, the claim is a system claim of claim 3; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 3.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- A. Silverter (US 2005/0221853) teaches user authentication using a mobile phone SIM card.
- B. Rodgriguez et al (US 2005/0113137) teaches wireless rechargeable money card.
- C. Goldthwaite et al (US 2004/0127256) teaches mobile devices equipped with a contactless smart card reader/writer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C. LE whose telephone number is 571-272-7868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,970 Page 9

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 25, 2006.

DANH CONG LE PRIMARY EXAMINER